Relations FIRST and FOLLOW for Parsing Expression Grammar

Roman R. Redziejowski

CS&P 2010

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

3

The last fad in top-down parsing with limited backtracking.

- 1961 Brooker & Morris Altas Compiler Compiler
- 1965 McClure TransMoGrifier (TMG)
- 1972 Aho & Ullman Top-Down Parsing Language (TDPL)
- ...
- 2004 Ford Parsing Expression Grammar (PEG)

Parsing Expression Grammar (PEG)

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

Named parsing procedures ("parsing expressions").

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

Named parsing procedures ("parsing expressions").

Call other procedures and "terminals".

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ → 臣 → のへで

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

Named parsing procedures ("parsing expressions").

Call other procedures and "terminals".

Note: not LL(1).

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
29.165
```

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

number

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

number->real

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

number->real->digits

 $\overline{}$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

number->real->digits->[0-9][0-9]*

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

number->real->digits->[0-9][0-9]*: consume "29"

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

 \wedge

number->real->digits

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

number->real

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
29.165
```

number->real->"."

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

 $^{\sim}$

number->real->".": consumes "."

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

number->real

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

number->real->digits

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

number->real->digits: consume "165"

 \wedge

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

^

number->real

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

^

number

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
29.165
```

^

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → つく(~

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711 ^

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711 ^

number

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ の Q ()

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
4711
^
```

number->real

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ ∽ Q ()~

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
4711
^
```

number->real->digits

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → つく(~

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

number->real->digits: consume "4711"

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → つく(~

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

^

number->real

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ ∽ Q ()~

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

 $^{\sim}$

number->real->"."

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

^

number->real->".": returns failure

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ ∽ Q ()~

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711 ^

number->real: backtracks

同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト ヨ うくべ

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
4711
```

number->real: returns failure

同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト ヨ うくべ

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711 ^

number

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ ∽ Q ()~
```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711 ^

number->integer

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
4711
```

number->integer->digits

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711

number->integer->digits: consume "4711"

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711

 $^{\sim}$

number->integer

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

4711

 $^{\sim}$

number

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ ∽ Q ()~

```
number = real / integer
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
4711
```

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ ∽ Q ()~

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
29.165
```

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

```
^
```

number

number->integer

number->integer->digits

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

```
^
```

number->integer->digits: consume "29"

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q ()

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

^

number->integer

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
29.165
```

number

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
```

```
29.165
```

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
```

Once number succeeded, nothing can force it to try real.

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

```
number = integer / real
real = digits? "." digits
integer = digits
digits = [0-9][0-9]*
29.165
^
```

Once number succeeded, nothing can force it to try real. integer hides part of the language of real.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 ■ ∽ Q ()~

All of these fail on input aab:

("a"/"aa")"b" - "a" consumes a, "b" fails on ab ("aa"/"a")"ab"

("a"/"c"?)"aab"

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

All of these fail on input aab:

("a"/"aa")"b" - "a" consumes a, "b" fails on ab ("aa"/"a")"ab"

("a"/"c"?)"aab"

Not easy to see what happens in a complex grammar.

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

Guess what this is doing:

A = "a"A"a" / "aa"

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Guess what this is doing:

- A = "a"A"a" / "aa"
- aaaa consumes 4 of 4

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Guess what this is doing:

- A = "a"A"a" / "aa"
- aaaaconsumes4 of4aaaaa2 of5

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

Guess what this is doing:

A =	"a"A	"a" /	"aa"
-----	------	-------	------

aaaa	consumes	4	of	4
aaaaa		2	of	5
aaaaaa		4	of	6

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Guess what this is doing:

A = "a"A"a" / "aa"

aaaa	consumes	4	of	4
aaaaa		2	of	5
aaaaaa		4	of	6
aaaaaa		6	of	7

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Guess what this is doing:

A = "a"A"a" / "aa"

aaaa	consumes	4	of	4
aaaaa		2	of	5
aaaaaa		4	of	6
aaaaaa		6	of	7
aaaaaaa		8	of	8

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

Guess what this is doing:

A = "a"A"a" / "aa"

aaaa	consumes	4	of	4
aaaaa		2	of	5
aaaaaa		4	of	6
aaaaaa		6	of	7
aaaaaaa		8	of	8
aaaaaaaaa		2	of	9

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Guess what this is doing:

A = "a"A"a" / "aa"

aaaa	consumes	4	of	4
aaaaa		2	of	5
aaaaaa		4	of	6
aaaaaa		6	of	7
aaaaaaa		8	of	8
aaaaaaaa		2	of	9

Result depends on input far ahead.

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

Guess what this is doing:

A = "a"A"a" / "aa"

aaaa	consumes	4	of	4
aaaaa		2	of	5
aaaaaa		4	of	6
aaaaaa		6	of	7
aaaaaaa		8	of	8
aaaaaaaa		2	of	9

Result depends on input far ahead.

Programmer's paradise: write, try, debug, show your skill.

個 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

∃ 𝒫𝔅

Problem

• General problem:

understand what this damned thing is doing.

ヘロン 人間 とくほとく ほとう

₹ 990

Problem

- General problem: understand what this damned thing is doing.
- Very difficult. (CS&P 2007, Fundamenta Inf. 85).

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ …

- General problem: understand what this damned thing is doing.
- Very difficult. (CS&P 2007, Fundamenta Inf. 85).
- Partial problem: detect language hiding in a complex grammar.

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

э

- General problem: understand what this damned thing is doing.
- Very difficult. (CS&P 2007, Fundamenta Inf. 85).
- Partial problem: detect language hiding in a complex grammar.
- Very difficult (Schmitz).

▲□ > ▲ □ > ▲ □ > …

- General problem: understand what this damned thing is doing.
- Very difficult. (CS&P 2007, Fundamenta Inf. 85).
- Partial problem: detect language hiding in a complex grammar.
- Very difficult (Schmitz).
- How about just some hints where to look?

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

- General problem: understand what this damned thing is doing.
- Very difficult. (CS&P 2007, Fundamenta Inf. 85).
- Partial problem: detect language hiding in a complex grammar.
- Very difficult (Schmitz).
- How about just some hints where to look?
- Observation: problems are associated with LL(1) violations.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ……

- General problem: understand what this damned thing is doing.
- Very difficult. (CS&P 2007, Fundamenta Inf. 85).
- Partial problem: detect language hiding in a complex grammar.
- Very difficult (Schmitz).
- How about just some hints where to look?
- Observation: problems are associated with LL(1) violations.
- Suggestion: detect LL(1) violations.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ……

э.

- General problem: understand what this damned thing is doing.
- Very difficult. (CS&P 2007, Fundamenta Inf. 85).
- Partial problem: detect language hiding in a complex grammar.
- Very difficult (Schmitz).
- How about just some hints where to look?
- Observation: problems are associated with LL(1) violations.
- Suggestion: detect LL(1) violations.
- How: adapt known techniques to PEG.

(四) (日) (日)

э.
A known technique to check for LL(1) uses these relations:

- FIRST(*s*) set of possible first letters in a string derived from grammar symbol *s*.
- FOLLOW(s) set of possible letters that can follow a string derived from grammar symbol s.

(四) (日) (日)

Adapted to PEG:

- FIRST(*e*) set of terminals that may be invoked by expression *e* on the start of input.
- FOLLOW_s(e) set of expressions that may be invoked after success of e.
- FOLLOW_f(e) set of expressions that may be invoked after failure of e.

(四) (日) (日)

Disjoint expressions e_1 and e_2 : terminals from FIRST(e_1) and FIRST(e_2) cannot succeed on the same input.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

ъ

Disjoint expressions e_1 and e_2 : terminals from FIRST(e_1) and FIRST(e_2) cannot succeed on the same input.

Example:

 $e_1 = "abc" [a-z]^*$ $e_2 = "abd" [a-z]^*$ $e_3 = [a-z] [a-z]^*$

$$\label{eq:FIRST(e_1) = {"abc"}, \\ FIRST(e_2) = {"abd"}, \\ FIRST(e_3) = {[a-z]} \\ \end{cases}$$

 e_1 and e_2 are disjoint. e_2 and e_3 are not.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

1

Disjoint choice $e_1 / ... / e_n$: all $e_1, ..., e_n$ are pairwise disjoint.

◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → ● < ⊙ < ⊙

Disjoint choice $e_1 / ... / e_n$: all $e_1 , ... , e_n$ are pairwise disjoint.

- Language hiding does not occur in a disjoint choice.
 - We can flag non-disjoint choices for examination.

▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - わへ(?)

Disjoint choice $e_1 / ... / e_n$: all $e_1 , ... , e_n$ are pairwise disjoint.

- Language hiding does not occur in a disjoint choice.
 We can flag non-disjoint choices for examination.
- If any of e₁,..., e_n in a disjoint choice fails after succeeding with at least one terminal, no terminal will succeed on that input. (Until the parser backtracks and takes another try.)

(画) (画) (画) (画)

Disjoint choice $e_1 / ... / e_n$: all $e_1 , ... , e_n$ are pairwise disjoint.

- Language hiding does not occur in a disjoint choice.
 We can flag non-disjoint choices for examination.
- If any of e₁,..., e_n in a disjoint choice fails after succeeding with at least one terminal, no terminal will succeed on that input. (Until the parser backtracks and takes another try.)

- We can stop trying other alternatives. This a PEG version of predictive parsing. (Mizushima, Meada & Yamaguchi)

<週 → < 注 → < 注 → … 注

To handle special cases (e.g. expressions consuming empty string), we need to involve FOLLOW_s and FOLLOW_f.

通 とくほ とくほ とう

æ

To handle special cases (e.g. expressions consuming empty string), we need to involve FOLLOW_s and FOLLOW_f.

There is a similar theory for star expressions that uses $FOLLOW_s$.

伺 とくほ とくほとう

To handle special cases (e.g. expressions consuming empty string), we need to involve FOLLOW_s and FOLLOW_f.

There is a similar theory for star expressions that uses $FOLLOW_s$.

But this is a long story... See CS&P 2008, Fundamenta Inf. 93.

伺 とくき とくき とう

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

3

 \oplus Good news: experiment with a large grammar (Java 1.6) found 264 of 329 choice and star expressions to be disjoint.

 \oplus Good news: experiment with a large grammar (Java 1.6) found 264 of 329 choice and star expressions to be disjoint.

 \ominus Bad news: most of the remaining 65 are false alarms.

 \oplus Good news: experiment with a large grammar (Java 1.6) found 264 of 329 choice and star expressions to be disjoint.

 \ominus Bad news: most of the remaining 65 are false alarms.

Let us see why.

Lookahead expression: !*e* where *e* is any expression. For example: !"abc".

▲■▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Lookahead expression: *!e* where *e* is any expression.

```
For example: ! "abc".
```

It means:

- Call "abc".
- If it succeeds, backtrack and report failure.
- Otherwise report success.

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Lookahead expression: !e where e is any expression.

For example: ! "abc".

It means:

- Call "abc".
- If it succeeds, backtrack and report failure.
- Otherwise report success.

In other words:

- Make sure the input does not start with abc.
- But do not consume anything.

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Lookahead expression: !e where e is any expression.

For example: ! "abc".

It means:

- Call "abc".
- If it succeeds, backtrack and report failure.
- Otherwise report success.

In other words:

- Make sure the input does not start with abc.
- But do not consume anything.
- "abc" is included in FIRST.

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Consider

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Consider

e₁ = (!"abc")[a-z]*, e₂ = "abc"[a-z]*.

 e_1 consumes strings of letters that do not start with abc. e_2 consumes strings of letters that do start with abc.

They never succeed on the same input.

通り くほり くほり

э.

Consider

e₁ = (!"abc")[a-z]*, e₂ = "abc"[a-z]*.

 e_1 consumes strings of letters that do not start with abc. e_2 consumes strings of letters that do start with abc.

They never succeed on the same input.

```
FIRST(e_1) = \{"abc", [a-z]\} \text{ (yes, } e_1 \text{ tries both),} FIRST(e_2) = \{"abc"\}.
```

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Consider

e₁ = (!"abc")[a-z]*, e₂ = "abc"[a-z]*.

 e_1 consumes strings of letters that do not start with abc. e_2 consumes strings of letters that do start with abc.

They never succeed on the same input.

```
FIRST(e_1) = \{"abc", [a-z]\} \text{ (yes, } e_1 \text{ tries both),} FIRST(e_2) = \{"abc"\}.
```

They are flagged as non-disjoint.

What is wrong?

 $FIRST(e_1) = \{ "abc", [a-z] \}$ is clearly too big.

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Only [a-z] is called to really bite off a piece of input, while "abc" is trying to prevent this.



▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → つく(~

Only [a-z] is called to really bite off a piece of input, while "abc" is trying to prevent this.

Leaving "abc" out does not help: $FIRST(e_1) = \{ [a-z] \}$ and $FIRST(e_2) = \{ "abc" \}$ are still not disjoint.

Only [a-z] is called to really bite off a piece of input, while "abc" is trying to prevent this.

Leaving "abc" out does not help: $FIRST(e_1) = \{ [a-z] \}$ and $FIRST(e_2) = \{ "abc" \}$ are still not disjoint.

We need something like $FIRST(e_1) = \{ [a-z] \text{ but not "abc"} \}.$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲圖 ● ④ ● ●

Only [a-z] is called to really bite off a piece of input, while "abc" is trying to prevent this.

Leaving "abc" out does not help: $FIRST(e_1) = \{ [a-z] \}$ and $FIRST(e_2) = \{ "abc" \}$ are still not disjoint.

We need something like $FIRST(e_1) = \{ [a-z] \text{ but not "abc"} \}.$

Unfortunately, this does not work in general. We need something new.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

通 とくほ とくほ とう

Example:

 $[a-z]^*$ bites any string in $[a-z]\Sigma^*$.

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Example:

 $[a-z]^*$ bites any string in $[a-z]\Sigma^*$.

"abc" [a-z] * bites any string in "abc" Σ *.

個 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

Example:

 $[a-z]^*$ bites any string in $[a-z]\Sigma^*$.

"abc" [a-z] * bites any string in "abc" Σ *.

(!"abc") $[a-z]^*$ bites any string in $\overline{"abc"\Sigma^*} \cap [a-z]\Sigma^*$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □ 臣 □ りへで

Examples:

 $\mathsf{BITES}([a-z]^*) = [a-z]\Sigma^*.$

Examples: BITES($[a-z]^*$) = $[a-z]\Sigma^*$. BITES("abc" $[a-z]^*$) = "abc" Σ^* .

Examples: BITES($[a-z]^*$) = $[a-z]\Sigma^*$. BITES("abc" $[a-z]^*$) = "abc" Σ^* .

 $\mathsf{BITES}((!"abc")[a-z]^*) = \overline{"abc"\Sigma^*} \cap [a-z]\Sigma^*.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへ(?)
$BITES(e_1) \cap BITES(e_2) = \emptyset$ means:

 e_1 and e_2 cannot both bite the same string.



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

 $BITES(e_1) \cap BITES(e_2) = \emptyset$ means:

 e_1 and e_2 cannot both bite the same string.

Redefine " e_1 and e_2 disjoint" to mean BITES $(e_1) \cap$ BITES $(e_2) = \emptyset$.

▲■ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ■ ● � � �

 $BITES(e_1) \cap BITES(e_2) = \emptyset$ means:

 e_1 and e_2 cannot both bite the same string.

Redefine " e_1 and e_2 disjoint" to mean BITES(e_1) \cap BITES(e_2) = \emptyset .

"abc" [a-z]* and (!"abc") [a-z]* are now disjoint!

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → つく(~

Updated main results

Redefine " $e_1 / ... / e_n$ disjoint" to mean " $e_1, ..., e_n$ are pairwise disjoint in the new sense."

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

Updated main results

Redefine " $e_1 / \dots / e_n$ disjoint" to mean

" e_1, \ldots, e_n are pairwise disjoint in the new sense."

- Language hiding does not occur in a disjoint choice.
- If any of e₁,..., e_n in a disjoint choice fails after biting the input, nothing will bite that input. (Until the parser backtracks and takes another try.)

▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ▲ 臣 > 二 臣

Everything fine? Not really...

The lookahead is still a problem.

```
BITES((!e_1)e_2) = \overline{SUCC(e_1)} \cap BITES(e_2)
```

where $SUCC(e_1)$ should be the set of strings on which e_1 succeeds.

< 回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > …

э.

The lookahead is still a problem.

```
BITES((!e_1)e_2) = \overline{SUCC(e_1)} \cap BITES(e_2)
```

where $SUCC(e_1)$ should be the set of strings on which e_1 succeeds.

Finding SUCC(e) for arbitrary e is difficult.

It is about *e* succeeding on *s*, not just biting it. And remember, it may depend on input far ahead. (Back to square one?)

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ …

It is possible to find SUCC(e) if *e* is an expression on terminals. Which is useful in many cases.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

■ のへで

It is possible to find SUCC(e) if *e* is an expression on terminals. Which is useful in many cases.

Otherwise we can approximate SUCC "from below",

by $\widetilde{\text{SUCC}}(e) \subseteq \text{SUCC}(e)$.

(We have to preserve "*e* bites $s \Rightarrow s \in \mathsf{BITES}(s)$ ".)

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

It is possible to find SUCC(e) if *e* is an expression on terminals. Which is useful in many cases.

Otherwise we can approximate SUCC "from below",

by $\widetilde{\text{SUCC}}(e) \subseteq \text{SUCC}(e)$.

(We have to preserve "*e* bites $s \Rightarrow s \in \mathsf{BITES}(s)$ ".)

One such approximation is $\widetilde{SUCC}(e) = \emptyset$ which gives $BITES((!e_1)e_2) = BITES(e_2)$, loosing all info on e_1 . Not good, but I do not see any better yet.

◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

Implementation is more complicated than with FIRST.

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

Implementation is more complicated than with FIRST.

Instead of sets, we have regular expressions with Boolean operations.

通 とう ほうとう ほうとう

Implementation is more complicated than with FIRST.

Instead of sets, we have regular expressions with Boolean operations.

Of course, the emptiness problem for such expressions is decidable, but standard procedures are cumbersome with a large alphabet.

- BITES is better than FIRST, but still not perfect.
- BITES is more difficult to implement, but this is one-off, not run-time, analysis.
- There is still much left to be detected.

Implement and see how it works?

Is Forget it?

More research? (Need something for CSP 2011...)

Thanks for your attention!

Roman R. Redziejowski FIRST and FOLLOW for PEG

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

E 990